PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND ORGANOLEPTIC PROPERTIES OF COOKIES SUPPLEMENTED WITH CHEMICALLY MODIFIED STARCH
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Present study investigated the effect of chemically (acid) modified starch on quality attributes of cookies. Cookies were supplemented with chemically modified starch at various levels (5, 10 and 15%) and their physicochemical and sensory properties were evaluated. The results showed that cookies substituted with varying levels of chemically modified starch indicated width (30.8-31.7 cm), thickness (5.2-5.4 cm) and spread factor (57.03-60.96). Color determined in term of L*, a* and b* values were found as 67.44-70.26, 3.47-3.75 and 32.22-32.70, respectively. Chemical composition of cookies indicated moisture (2.10-3.21%), ash (0.40-0.43%), fat (21.10-22.93%), fiber (0.083-0.107%) and protein (5.41-5.69%). Hardness values of fresh cookies ranged between 2.29 and 2.71 kg. Our results showed that substitution of wheat flour with chemically modified starch up to 10% could be an effective approach to improve overall quality of cookies.
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INTRODUCTION

Native starches have limited food applications due to their sensitivity to pH, heat and shear. Nonetheless, starches are modified to alter their properties like improvement in texture, viscosity, emulsification and shelf stability. Different starches exhibit diverse properties and used in food processing for several nutritional and aesthetic purposes (Falola et al., 2014). Starch modification changes its characteristics including water absorption, gelling, pasting and digestibility according to requirement. The properties of starch are modified through physical, chemical and enzymatic methods. From practical point of view, the starches are modified to improve physical, chemical and nutritional characteristics which might be useful for value added products. Generally, it can be used to increase stability towards different conditions i.e., extreme heat, shear, acidic condition; texture modification and to decrease or increase viscosity (Kumar and Prabhasankar, 2013).

Chemical modification of starch by acid hydrolysis consist of starch suspending in dilute solution of HCl or H2SO4 at certain temperature. Due to strong acid as well as heat, the cleavage of glycosidic linkages between the mono-saccharides in starch takes place (Yiu et al., 2008). It has been established that acid modification was found to alter the physicochemical attributes of starch such as improved solubility, reduced viscosity without impacting granular structure of starch. The degree of hydrolysis is influenced by starch consistency, pH value, temperature and duration of hydrolysis (Odeku et al., 2009).

Cookies are mainly characterized by high shortening and sugar level but, low final moisture (1-5%), which is responsible for their microbiological stability (Mancebo et al., 2015). Cookie producers experience variations in wheat flour quality for different cookie formulations. It is well established that cookie quality is mainly associated with soft (low protein) wheat however, in Pakistan, we are lacking in a system to sort out different wheat varieties with respect to quality products. Since, variations in the quality characteristics of flour supplies are detrimental to cookie quality so, current study was based on the use of chemically modified starch to improve cookie baking quality of mixed wheat flour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Procurement of raw materials: For cookies preparation, wheat variety (AARI-11) was obtained from Wheat Research Institute, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute (AARI), Faisalabad, Pakistan. Commercially available mixed wheat flour was obtained from commercial flour mill. All the chemicals and reagents (analytical grade) were purchased from Merck (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and local market.

Chemical modification of starch: Corn starch was used to prepare Chemically Modified Starch (CMS) by adopting the method of Yousif et al. (2012) with slight modifications. For the purpose, 750 g corn starch was taken in a beaker and 375 ml distilled water was added. Then, 375 mL of diluted acid i.e., 0.1 N HCL solution was added in the beaker containing a mixture of starch and distilled water. After that, pH was maintained to 7.0 with the help of an alkali (1 N NaOH). After that, the starch was washed thrice with distilled water and filtered with Whatman Filter Paper No. 1. In addition, drying
of neutralized starch was carried out for 24 hours at room temperature.

**Wheat milling:** Manually cleaned wheat grains were tempered at 15.5% moisture level for 24 hours at room temperature in order to equilibrate grain moisture. The amount of water for tempering was determined according to the method No. 26-95 given in AACC (2000). The tempered wheat grains were milled using Brabender Quadrumate Senior Mill (C.W. Brabender Instruments, Inc.) according to AACC (2000) method No. 26-21A. Break and reduction flour were blended to prepare straight grade flour (SGF).

**Preparation of cookies:** Cookies were made with straight grade flour obtained from AARI-11 (selected wheat variety) and mixed wheat flour (MWF) by substituting different levels of chemically modified starch at 5, 10 and 15% levels following the method No. 10-50D as mentioned in AACC (2000). After removing trays from oven, the cookies were cooled for 20 min. at room temperature and packed in polythene bags and evaluated for storage stability fortnightly for 30 days storage at room temperature.

**Physical analyses:** Width and thickness of six cookies was noted and spread factor was calculated by dividing width with thickness according to method No. 10-50D given in AACC (2000).

**Chemical analyses:** Chemical characteristics of cookies were determined i.e. moisture, ash, fat, fiber and protein content according to their respective methods described in AACC (2000) while, nitrogen free extract (NFE) was calculated by difference method.

**Color:** Color values of cookies were determined using color meter (Color Test II, Nehaus Neotech) following the method of Meilgaard et al. (2005).

**Hardness:** Hardness value of cookies was determined according to the method used by Piga et al. (2005) through Texture Analyzer (TA-XT2, Plus, Stable Microsystems, Surrey, UK).

**Organoleptic quality:** Organoleptic quality of cookies was assessed according to the method of Meilgaard et al. (2007) using a 9 point hedonic scale. Cookies were presented randomly in coded white plate and water was supplied to rinse the mouth after each evaluation.

**Statistical analysis:** All analysis was carried out in triplicate and results were presented as mean± standard error. Significant differences within treatments were determined using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) under completely randomized design however, beyond ANOVA contrast analysis was applied according to method described by Montgomery (2008) using different statistical software like SPSS version (version 13, 2004) and Minitab (version 11.2, 1996). Three factor factorial analysis was performed for storage data.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Physical properties of cookies:**

**Spread factor:** Cookie quality is mainly influenced by chemical constituents of flour, which absorb water as well as gluten quality and quantity. It has been reported earlier that large diameter or spread ratio are distinctive features of soft wheat with low protein and weak gluten network (Yamamoto et al., 1996; Pasha et al., 2009). Indeed, higher content of protein, damaged starch and arabininoxylan occur in hard and durum wheat cultivars, all these flour components absorb substantial amount of water and dwindled the biscuit spread during baking process (Pauly et al., 2013).

Pareyt et al. (2008) found a decline in cookies spread factor with an increment in protein and gluten content. Flour components which exhibit greater water absorption while, decreasing the amount of water available to dissolve sucrose in formula, which lead to higher initial dough viscosity and lower spread rate of cookies during baking (Hoseney and Rogers, 1994; Mancebo et al., 2015). Low protein content of flour is associated with low water absorption capacity and consequently, produce larger spread of cookies (Mancebo et al., 2015). High levels of damaged starch and pentosans enhance water absorption of flour and yield small cookie diameter (Moiraghi et al., 2013).

Supplementation of wheat flour with varying levels of chemically (acid) modified starch significantly (p<0.01) increased spread factor of cookies from 57.03 to 60.96 (Table 1). Among treatments, T5 produced largest (60.96) spread factor cookies whereas, T1 resulted cookies with least (57.03) spread. An increasing trend in spread factor was noted with the addition of CMS in wheat flour. A possible

**Table 1. Physical properties of cookies supplemented with chemically modified starch.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Width (cm)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Spread factor</th>
<th>L* value</th>
<th>a* value</th>
<th>b* value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 (SWV:CMS; 95:5)</td>
<td>30.8±0.76abc</td>
<td>5.4±0.16cd</td>
<td>57.03±1.25b</td>
<td>68.37±1.82bcd</td>
<td>3.62±0.10abc</td>
<td>32.33±0.86bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (SWV:CMS; 90:10)</td>
<td>31.0±0.72ab</td>
<td>5.3±0.15a</td>
<td>58.49±1.30ab</td>
<td>68.10±1.85bde</td>
<td>3.69±0.11ab</td>
<td>32.27±0.88bdf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (SWV:CMS; 85:15)</td>
<td>31.2±0.79</td>
<td>5.2±0.14bc</td>
<td>60.00±1.37c</td>
<td>67.44±1.91bcde</td>
<td>3.75±0.14a</td>
<td>32.22±0.91bcdef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 (MWF:CMS; 95:5)</td>
<td>30.9±0.76cd</td>
<td>5.3±0.16a</td>
<td>58.30±1.26a</td>
<td>70.25±1.82abc</td>
<td>3.47±0.09bcd</td>
<td>32.70±0.85bced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 (MWF:CMS; 90:10)</td>
<td>31.5±0.77bc</td>
<td>5.3±0.16a</td>
<td>59.43±1.27d</td>
<td>69.90±1.66abc</td>
<td>3.52±0.08cde</td>
<td>32.60±0.77cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 (MWF:CMS; 85:15)</td>
<td>31.7±0.73b</td>
<td>5.2±0.15b</td>
<td>60.96±1.31c</td>
<td>68.82±1.71bcd</td>
<td>3.55±0.09abc</td>
<td>32.44±0.80bcd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are reported as means of three measurements ± standard error. Means sharing similar letter in a column are statistically non-significant (p>0.05). CMS: Chemically modified starch; MWF: Mixed wheat flour; SWV: Selected wheat variety.
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It is known that flour quality was improved by diluting wheat flour at 10% level such as cornstarch, potato starch and arrowroot (Manley, 2011). Starch solution generally have tendency to alter the viscosity of other pastes and solutions as well and this approach is well exploited by food industries. However, native starch offers viscous, sticky and cohesive pastes upon heating and gels once these pastes are allowed to cool (Adewale et al., 2005). In addition, native starch provides low resistance to shear stress. Native maize starch could be improved to acquire starch pastes with particular attributes, which can withstand extreme food processing parameters such as heat and acidic conditions.

Chemical modification is the most common method to modify starch intended to be used for texture improvement in various products. By modification, dough physical behavior like rheological and pasting properties can be altered (Liu et al., 2008). During acid hydrolysis, molecular weight of starch tends to decline while, crystallinity escalate (Zuo et al., 2014). Further, it decreases the amylose fraction and this reveals an increment in temperature of starch paste and enthalpy of gelatinization as stated by Lawal (2004). In general, application of aqueous solution of acids increase the consistency of gel and decrease pastes viscosity likely due to de-polymerization of starch polymer (Ulbrich et al., 2015).

**Color values:** L* (lightness) values of cookies made by replacing wheat flour with chemically modified starch varied from 67.44 to 70.25 (Table 1). However, the cookies substituted with CMS at higher ratios had relatively dark color. A significant (p<0.01) increase in a* (redness) values of cookies was observed from 3.47 to 3.75. Different supplementation levels of CMS affected b* ( yellowness) values and varied over a narrow range of 32.22-32.70. In this piece of work, cookies prepared with wheat flour: chemically modified starch (95:5) showed maximum b* value while, cookies (85:15) exhibited lowest. Nevertheless, the effect of CMS supplementation was different and it can be observed that L* and b* values dropped whereas, a* values increased with a proportional rise of CMS in wheat flour. Present results are somewhat close to findings of Pareyt et al. (2011). This fact can be justified by the recent work of Pérez-Carrillo et al. (2017) who studied the impact of maize starch substitution on physicochemical and sensorial attributes for the development of gluten-free cookies. They added that flour substituted with 10% waxy maize starch resulted in darkest cookies with lowest L value (54.97) as compared to 5% substitution of waxy maize starch (58.83).

Maillard reactions involve complex reactions of reducing saccharides and amino acids. In absence of amino acids, sugars can also cause dark surface coloration by caramelization process. This relationship may possibly because of great availability of sugar for Maillard and caramelization, due to partial starch gelatinization (some amylose leaching) during baking (Sciaroni et al., 2013). The efficiency of Maillard reaction is influenced by various factors such as chemical configuration of protein, amino acid fragments, presence of reducing saccharides as well as complex carbohydrates like starch (Chung et al., 2012).

**Chemical properties:** Statistical data presented in Table 2 indicated that the effect of the supplementation of CMS was highly significant (p<0.01) for most of chemical properties while, values were statistically similar for NFE content. Chemical composition of cookies revealed moisture, ash, fat, fiber, protein and NFE content ranged as 2.10-3.21, 0.400-0.430, 21.10-22.93, 0.083-0.107, 5.41-5.69 and 67.92-70.28%, respectively. In present study, highest fat and fiber were reckoned in cookies substituted with CMS (15%) however, lowest was observed in cookies (5%).

This could be elucidated due to the supplementation of high level of starch into wheat flour, which contain low fat and fiber content. Tester et al. (2004) referred that lipid matrix of amylose content in cereal starch ranged between 0.15 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>Fat</th>
<th>Fiber</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>NFE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 (SWV:CMS; 95:5)</td>
<td>2.10±0.05</td>
<td>0.43±0.013</td>
<td>21.66±0.57</td>
<td>0.083±0.003</td>
<td>5.47±0.16</td>
<td>70.28±1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (SWV:CMS; 90:10)</td>
<td>3.21±0.10</td>
<td>0.42±0.012</td>
<td>22.50±0.61</td>
<td>0.093±0.005</td>
<td>5.44±0.15</td>
<td>68.33±1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (SWV:CMS; 85:15)</td>
<td>2.70±0.08</td>
<td>0.40±0.011</td>
<td>22.65±0.64</td>
<td>0.103±0.007</td>
<td>5.41±0.12</td>
<td>68.17±1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 (MWF:CMS; 95:5)</td>
<td>2.99±0.09</td>
<td>0.41±0.011</td>
<td>21.10±0.55</td>
<td>0.087±0.004</td>
<td>5.69±0.17</td>
<td>69.72±1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 (MWF:CMS; 90:10)</td>
<td>3.20±0.13</td>
<td>0.43±0.013</td>
<td>22.70±0.59</td>
<td>0.097±0.005</td>
<td>5.66±0.17</td>
<td>67.92±1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 (MWF:CMS; 85:15)</td>
<td>2.60±0.07</td>
<td>0.41±0.009</td>
<td>22.93±0.61</td>
<td>0.107±0.007</td>
<td>5.63±0.16</td>
<td>68.32±1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are reported as means of three measurements ± standard error. Means sharing similar letter in a column are statistically non-significant (p>0.05). CMS: Chemically modified starch; MWF: Mixed wheat flour; SWV: Selected wheat variety.
0.55%. Lipid matrix of cereal starch mainly comprised of phospholipids and free fatty acids; these are related to amylose. Fat play a crucial role in starch phase transitions influencing the rheological properties as described previously (Hernandez-Hernandez et al., 2011), amylose-lipid matrix influence heat transition and retrogradation of starch. However, a reverse trend was noticed for protein content that tended to decrease with an increase in the level of CMS. Present study speculated that a decrease in protein content supports the view that dilution of wheat flour protein may have occurred due to supplementation of CMS in wheat flour at high levels. Further, a plausible reason is that low protein content was described in starch molecule (0.6%) as referred by Alcazar-Alay and Meireles (2015). In addition, protein content of acid-thinned starch was found lowest (0.42%) as compared to native starch (0.73%) as reported earlier (Yousif et al., 2012). Cookies made with the supplementation of wheat flour with CMS (95:5) revealed highest protein. Similar values of fiber content were reported earlier by Olagunju and Ifesan (2013) for wheat flour cookies. Pareyt et al. (2011) found 2.4-2.6% moisture in cookies made with flour substituted with starch by 10 to 40%. Ojinnaka et al. (2013) reported that protein content decreased from 9.51 to 7.59 by adding 10% crude African breadfruit starch and marginally increased to 7.82 with 20% crude starch into cookies.

**Hardness values:** Statistical analysis revealed highly significant effect (p<0.01) of chemically modified starch on hardness values of cookies. Figure 1 represents hardness of fresh cookies made by supplementing wheat flour with CMS ranged as 2.29-2.71 kg. At 0 day, greater force (2.71 kg) was required to break T3 cookies whereas, smaller (2.29 kg) was recorded for T4. Present study established an increasing trend for cookies hardness with an increase in CMS substitution to wheat flour. Although, the dilution of protein occurs by substitution of starch even though, higher breaking strength of cookies with increasing the substitution level underscore that there are some other factors, which are responsible for the more structured network that is likely due to high levels of flour protein (Laguna et al., 2011). Gaines (1990) described that gluten protein is not functionally inert in such cookie doughs, particularly during baking. As cookie dough is undeveloped during baking, even though a protein network consisting of glutenin and gliadin fractions is formed by the covalent bonding of sulfhydryl (-SH) groups. Additionally, internal structure of cookie and intrinsic material characteristics are generally influenced by protein network and together, these then impact the break strength of cookies (Pareyt et al., 2010). In this context, Doescher et al. (1987) employed scanning electron microscope and evidenced the presence of a well-formed gluten network that surrounds ungelatinized starch molecules. It is known that cross-link of gluten protein occurs by a mechanism of SH/SS exchange leading to copolymerization of gliadin and glutenin fractions into an assumed gluten matrix as stated by Lagrain et al. (2008).

Storage studies also revealed similar increasing trend from 2.50 to 2.85 kg (15 days) and 3.40 to 3.88 kg (30 days). Pérez-Carrillo et al. (2017) also experienced the same storage trend for texture parameters of all cookies supplemented with different types and levels of starches, and force was found to be significantly increased for all cookies except pregelatinized cross-link maize starch (at 10% level) during storage of 5 days at room temperature. The evidence of these variations stem from the fact of the differences in gluten-starch interaction in wheat flour and degree of starch retrogradation (Pacheco and Teixeira, 2009). However, in contrast to present results Qaisrani et al. (2014) found that hardness was decreased during storage for cookies supplemented with psyllium husk. Pareyt et al. (2011) reported 11.6-18.6N break strength of wheat cookies substituted with 10-40% starch.

**Organoleptic quality:** Quality assessment of fresh cookies and after 30 days was evaluated separately. Overall acceptability scores ranged from 6.75 to 7.50 for fresh cookies supplemented with CMS (Figure 2). At 0 day, T3 cookies were highly acceptable and a decreasing trend was observed with the addition of CMS into wheat flour. This implies that wheat flour could be supplemented with CMS up to 10% for cookies without affecting overall quality. However, storing cookies for 15 and 30 days significantly (p<0.01) influenced overall acceptability as 6.13-7.25 and 5.88-7.00, respectively. Storage studies revealed a significant effect of CMS on overall acceptability and cookies attained comparatively lower color scores as compared to their fresh counterparts. Ojinnaka et al. (2009) used acid and enzymatic methods to modify cocoyam starch and substituted these starch samples with wheat flour for the preparation of cookies. After 60 days storage, cookies with 5 and 10% substitution were found most acceptable.
Cookies supplemented with chemically modified starch

Figure 2. Overall acceptability of cookies supplemented with chemically modified starch.

Conclusion: The authors infer that addition of chemically modified starch significantly increased spread factor of cookies produced from mixed wheat flour, which were comparable to those made with wheat variety. However, supplementation at higher levels produced cookies with dark color and hard texture. Also, storing cookies for one month had a significant effect on overall acceptability. Addition of chemically modified starch is recommended for the improvement of baking quality of cookies at 10% level and level above 10% level had adverse impact on cookies characteristics.
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